

State Water Resources Control Board
UST CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY

Agency Information

Current Agency Name: State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board)	Address: 1001 I Street, P.O. Box 2231 Sacramento, CA 95812
Current Agency Caseworker: Mr. Matthew Cohen	Case No.: N/A

Former Agency Name: Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (Prior to 7/1/2013)	Address: 900 South Fremont Avenue Alhambra, CA 91803
Former Agency Caseworker: Mr. Alberto Grajeda	Case No.: 011483-051425

Case Information

USTCF Claim No.: None	Global ID: T10000001103
Site Name: Eric's Place	Site Address: 48406 90 th Street East Lancaster, CA 93535 (Site)
Responsible Party: Mr. Eric Van Der Zalm	Address: 48406 90 th Street East Lancaster, CA 93535
USTCF Expenditures to Date: N/A	Number of Years Case Open: 6

URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report.asp?global_id=T10000001103

Summary

The Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy (Policy) contains general and media-specific criteria, and cases that meet those criteria are appropriate for closure pursuant to the Policy. This case meets all of the required criteria of the Policy.

The release at the Site was discovered when four underground storage tanks (USTs), associated product piping, and dispensers were removed. Low concentrations of methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) were detected in confirmation samples from beneath the former USTs at an estimated depth of 10 to 12 feet below ground surface (bgs). No other petroleum constituents were detected during UST removal activities.

Groundwater was not encountered during soil sampling to an estimated maximum depth of 12 feet bgs. Depth to water is estimated to be greater than 200 feet bgs. The nearest public supply well and surface water body are greater than 1,000 feet from the Site. Additional corrective action will not likely change the conceptual site model. Residual petroleum constituents pose a low risk to human health, safety, and the environment.

Rationale for Closure under the Policy

- General Criteria – Site **MEETS ALL EIGHT GENERAL CRITERIA** under the Policy.
- Groundwater Media-Specific Criteria – Site releases **HAVE NOT LIKELY AFFECTED GROUNDWATER**. There are not sufficient mobile constituents (leachate, vapors, or light non-aqueous phase liquids) to cause groundwater to exceed the groundwater criteria in this Policy.
- Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Criteria – Site meets **CRITERION (2) b**. A Site specific risk assessment for the vapor intrusion pathway was conducted. The assessment found that there is no significant risk of petroleum vapors adversely affecting human health. The release at the Site was very minor. Total petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene, or other volatile petroleum constituents were not detected in soil during the UST removal activities.
- Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure Criteria – Site meets **CRITERION (3) a**. Maximum concentrations of residual petroleum constituents in soil are less than or equal to those listed in Table 1. The estimated naphthalene concentrations are less than the thresholds in Table 1 of the Policy for direct contact. There are no soil sample results in the case record for naphthalene. However, the relative concentration of naphthalene in soil can be conservatively estimated using the published relative concentrations of naphthalene and benzene in gasoline. Taken from Potter and Simmons (1998), gasoline mixtures contain approximately 2% benzene and 0.25% naphthalene. Therefore, benzene concentrations can be used as a surrogate for naphthalene concentrations with a safety factor of eight. Benzene concentrations from the Site are below the naphthalene thresholds in Table 1 of the Policy. Therefore, estimated naphthalene concentrations meet the thresholds in Table 1 and the Policy criteria for direct contact with a safety factor of eight. It is highly unlikely that naphthalene concentrations in the soil, if any, exceed the threshold.

Recommendation for Closure

The corrective action performed at this Site ensures the protection of human health, safety, and the environment, and is consistent with chapter 6.7 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations, applicable state policies for water quality control, and the applicable water quality control plan, and case closure is recommended.



George Lockwood, PE No. 59556
Senior Water Resource Control Engineer

10/9/2014

Date

